IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **AUSTIN DIVISION**

FILED H 9 200% CLERK U.S DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

DEPUTY CLERK

BY _3/

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.

A-04-CR-252-(3)-LY

NOBLE CHRISTIAN LANDRY

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

TO: THE HONORABLE LEE YEAKEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

The Magistrate Court submits this Report and Recommendation to the District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(3). The United States District Court referred this case to the United States Magistrate Judge for the taking of the defendant's felony guilty plea and for his allocution pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11.

On February 9, 2005, the defendant and counsel appeared before the Magistrate Court. The undersigned addressed the defendant personally in open court, informed him of the admonishments under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and determined that he understood those admonishments.

Pursuant to a plea agreement, the defendant pled guilty to Count One of the Indictment, charging him with conspiracy to manufacture more than 500 grams of methamphetamine in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846 and 841(a)(1).

The Magistrate Judge finds the following:

- 1. The defendant, with the advice of his attorney, consented to enter this guilty plea before the Magistrate Judge, subject to final approval and sentencing by the District Judge;
- The defendant fully understands the nature of the charges against him and possible 2. penalties;
- 3. The defendant understands his constitutional and statutory rights, understands his

constitutional and statutory rights can be waived, and understands the meaning and effect of waiving his constitutional and statutory rights;

- 4. The defendant waives his right to appeal, absent an upward departure. The defendant did not waive the right to challenge his sentence to the extent that it is the result of a violation of his constitutional rights based on claims of ineffective assistance of counsel or prosecutorial misconduct;
- 5. The defendant's plea was made freely and voluntarily;
- 6. The defendant is competent to enter this guilty plea; and
- 7. There is a factual basis for this plea.

RECOMMENDATION

The Magistrate Court **RECOMMENDS** the District Court accept the guilty plea and, after reviewing the presentence investigation report, enter a Final Judgment of guilt against the defendant.

WARNING

The parties may file objections to this Report and Recommendation. A party filing objections must specifically identify those findings or recommendations to which objections are being made. The District Court need not consider frivolous, conclusive, or general objections. See Battle v. United States Parole Comm'n, 834 F.2d 419, 421 (5th Cir. 1987).

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations contained within this Report within ten (10) days after being served with a copy of the Report shall bar that party from de novo review by the District Court of the proposed findings and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, shall bar the party from appellate review of proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the District Court to which no objections were filed. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150-53, 106

S. Ct. 466, 472-74 (1985); *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

The Clerk is directed to mail a copy of this Report and Recommendation to the parties by certified mail, return receipt requested.

SIGNED this $\frac{9}{100}$ day of February, 2005.

ROBERT PITMAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE